

United States I. Int and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/907,900 | 07/19/2001 | James L. Hartley | 0942.285000D | 1831 |
| | 590 12/17/2002 | | | |
| STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 | | | EXAMINER | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934 | | 11 € 000 | SANDALS, WILLIAM O | |
| | • | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1636 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/17/2002 | _ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Hartley et al.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/907,900

Applicant(s)

Examiner

William Sandals

Art Unit 1636



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or | n the cover shee | it with: | the correspondence address | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| | for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| - Extens | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no g date of this communication. | o event, however, may | / a reply b | be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | |
| - If the property - If NO property - Failure - Any response to the same of the | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become | ONTHS fro | rom the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 19, 200 | 01 | | · | | | |
| 2a) □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | tion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1 and 52-67</u> | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | | |
| 6) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 💢 | Claims <u>1 and 52-67</u> | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are a | ı) 🗆 accepted (| or b)□ | objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) |)□ ap | oproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| _ | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to | this Office action | n. | | | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)∟ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior | ority under 35 U |).S.C. { | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have to | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| | *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| a) □ | the state of the claim for domestic priority dider 35 0.5.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | |
| the deviation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | · •- | | | | | | |
| | Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | , | | | |

A CE1 8

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/907,900

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 1, drawn to a method of cloning by recombination, classified in class 435, subclass 462.
 - II. Claims 52-68, drawn to a method of amplifying a nucleic acid with recombination site-specific primers, then recombining the amplified nucleic acid with a vector to produce a product vector, classified in class 435, subclass 464.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Groups I and Group II are biologically and functionally different and distinct from each other and thus one does not render the other obvious. The methods of Groups I and II comprise steps which are not required for or present in the methods of the other group: method of cloning by recombination of Group I and method of amplifying a nucleic acid with recombination site-specific primers, then recombining the amplified nucleic acid with a vector to produce a product vector of Group II. Thus, the operation, function and effects of these different

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/907,900

Art Unit: 1636

methods are different and distinct from each other. Therefore, the inventions of these different, distinct groups are capable of supporting a separate patents.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Certain papers related to this application are *welcomed* to be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 and 305-3014. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative, and the FAX receipt from your FAX machine is proof of delivery. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Dr. William Sandals whose telephone number is (703) 305-1982. The examiner normally can be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached at (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the William Phillips, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3482.

William Sandals, Ph.D. Examiner December 14, 2002

TERRY MCKELVEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER